

## **REMARKS**

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the claims of the present application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

### **Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §102(e)**

Claims 1-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0191803 (“Chinnici”). Independent claims 1, 7 and 13, and dependent claims 2 and 19 are being amended to more clearly recite what is being claimed. Support for the amendments can be found in paragraphs [0014] and [0015] of the original specification.

Chinnici as understood by applicant discloses an extensible serialization framework for an XML based RPC computing environment. While Chinnici discloses deserializing an encoded message to reconstruct a serialized object graph that contains multiple-reference object, Chinnici does not disclose or suggest every element claimed in independent claims 1, 7 and 13 as amended. For example, Chinnici does not disclose or suggest, “each new parameter for the command is defined as a unique new class type” claimed in claim 1 as amended, and “the server-side host determining that the server-side host is not compatible with one or more of the different parameters if the server-side host does not support a class type associated with said one or more of the different parameters, and said client-side host reformulating the command to delete one or more parameters determined to be incompatible” claimed in claim 2 as amended.

Similarly, Chinnici does not disclose or suggest, “each new parameter for the command is defined as a unique new class type ... the server-side host determining that the server-side host is not compatible with one or more of the different parameters if the server-side host does not

support a class type associated with said one or more of the different parameters, and said client-side host reformulating the command to delete one or more parameters determined to be incompatible” claimed in amended claims 7 and 13.

With respect to amended claim 19, Chinnici does not disclose, “locating a second server-side host that supports said function; and communicating the serialized command and the parameter objects to the second server-side host.”

The Office Action cites many paragraphs of Chinnici that discuss serialization and deserialization process, and particularly Chinnici’s paragraphs [0012], [0181] and [0127]. Paragraph [0012] describes deserializing a target object and that the deserializer may configure the returned state object to monitor a deserialization status. Paragraph [0181] discusses that deserializer may be unable to deserialize when the deserializer has been instructed to deserialize an HREF to an object and the object itself has not yet been completely deserialized, and when the object being deserialized contains references to other objects which have not yet been completely deserialized. Paragraph [00127] describes pluggable serializers and deserializers. Those and other paragraphs of Chinnici, however, do not disclose or suggest that “each new parameter for the command is defined as a unique new class type” or “the server-side host determining that the server-side host is not compatible with one or more of the different parameters if the server-side host does not support a class type associated with said one or more of the different parameters, and said client-side host reformulating the command to delete one or more parameters determined to be incompatible.”

In sum, while Chinnici discloses serialization and deserialization process in detail, particularly with reference to multiple-reference objects that have dependencies on one another,

Chinnici fails to disclose or suggest every element claimed in independent claims 1, 7 and 13 and their respective dependent claims, for at least the foregoing reasons.

In view of the foregoing remarks, this application is now believed to be in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference might expedite prosecution of this case, it is respectfully requested that he call applicant's attorney at (516) 742-4343.

Respectfully submitted,



Eunhee Park

Registration No.: 42,976

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C.  
400 Garden City Plaza - Suite 300  
Garden City, New York 11530  
(516) 742-4343

EP:me